COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

OCT 1 0 2011

In the Matter of:		PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN AMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PLAN, A REVISED SURCHARGE TO RECOVER COSTS, AND CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF NECESSARY ENVIRONMENTAL EQUIPMENT))))))	CASE NO. 2011-00162
In the Matter of:		
APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AND APPROVAL OF ITS 2011 COMPLIANCE PLAN FOR RECOVERY BY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE)))	CASE NO. 2011-00161

JOINT MOTION BY DREW FOLEY, JANET OVERMAN, GREGG WAGNER, RICK CLEWETT, RAYMOND BARRY, SIERRA CLUB, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF NATURAL GAS FORECASTS

On September 14, 2011, Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities
Company (collectively, the "Companies") served supplemental discovery responses on Rick
Clewett, Raymond Barry, Drew Foley, Janet Overman, Gregg Wagner, Sierra Club, and Natural
Resources Defense Council's (collectively "Environmental Intervenors"). *See* Exhibit 1. The
Confidential Version of the "2011 Air Compliance Plan Supplemental Analyses" redacted the
Compliance Plan's natural gas forecasts from 2014 onward, the 2011 PIRA Energy Group
("PIRA") forecast for high sulfur coal and natural gas, the 2011 Wood Mac/PIRA natural gas
prices from 2015 onward, and the 2011 Cambridge Energy Research Associates ("CERA")
forecast for high sulfur coal and natural gas. The Commission should compel the Companies to

release these forecasts because all of their concerns for redacting this information are addressed by a confidentiality agreement entered into by the Companies and Environmental Intervenors and this information is essential to completely analyzing the Companies' applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and related environmental surcharges.

The supplemental discovery responses were accompanied by a Petition for Confidential Protection, in which the Companies seek confidential protection because they "could be disadvantaged in negotiating fuel contracts in the future, and could also be disadvantaged in the wholesale energy market because fuel costs are important components of energy pricing" if this information were made public. Petition for Confidential Protection at 1 (Sept. 14, 2011). Later in the Petition, the Companies acknowledge that "it does not object to limited disclosure of the confidential information described herein, pursuant to an acceptable protective agreement, to intervenors with legitimate interests in reviewing the same of participating in this case." *Id.* at 2.

Despite acknowledging that a protective agreement would address their concerns, the Companies redacted the Compliance Plan's natural gas forecasts from 2014 onward, the 2011 forecast for high sulfur coal and natural gas, the 2011 Wood Mac/PIRA natural gas prices from 2015 onward, and the 2011 CERA forecast for high sulfur coal and natural gas in the Confidential Version of the Supplemental Analysis even though the Companies have entered into a confidentiality agreement with the Environmental Intervenors. The Companies did not proffer any reason why this information was redacted from the confidential version.

The Commission should compel the Companies to disclose these cost forecasts because the Companies' concerns about competitive disadvantage are addressed by the Confidentiality Agreement – and the Companies have advanced no other rationale – entered into by the Environmental Intervenors and the Companies. Pursuant to that Confidentiality Agreement,

Environmental Intervenors, excluding the individual members listed, and their consultants and attorneys (collectively referred to as the "Authorized Representatives") would have access to information for which the Companies have requested confidential protection but could "not publicize or otherwise disclose the Confidential Information to any third party and shall strictly limit access to the Confidential Information to the ... Authorized Representatives of Intervenors[] who have a need to know the Confidential Information for purposes of the Environmental Intervenors' participation in Case Nos. 2011-00161 and 2011-00162." In addition, "the Environmental Intervenors shall strictly limit their and Authorized Representatives of Environmental Intervenors use of the Confidential Information to use in Case Nos. 2011-00161 and 2011-00162 before the Commission and any appeals and remands from the case." This Confidentiality Agreement thus addresses all of the Companies concerns about possible economic disadvantage as only a limited number of individuals, none of whom have a competitive economic interest in the Companies' gas price forecasts, have access to this information. Moreover, the Companies had released the fuel forecasts that it used in its initial analysis to the Environmental Intervenors via a confidential response to a discovery request. See Companies' Confidential Response to Environmental Intervenors Request for Production of Documents, Question No. 16, 2011 Air Compliance Plan Sensitivity Analysis at pp. 2-3.

The Commission should compel the Companies to disclose this information because it is a critical piece of information that is necessary to evaluate the Companies' applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and related environmental surcharges. As demonstrated through Dr. Jeremy Fisher's Direct Testimony, the natural gas price forecast is a critical factor in determining whether existing units are kept on-line or retired. If an inflated natural gas forecast is used then the analysis will favor keeping the existing units on-line, while a

lower natural gas price will favor retirement. As such, in order to analyze whether the

Compliance Plan proffered by the Companies represents a reasonable and prudent decision, all

parties and the Commission need to know what fuel forecasts the Companies used and what are

the justifications for using those forecasts. Without this key piece of information adequate

assessment of the reasonableness of the Companies' Compliance Plan is severely hindered. That

is why the Environmental Intervenors have provided all of their fuel forecasts and have provided

and are providing through discovery a huge volume of material to justify our fuel forecasts.

The Commission should compel the Companies to release these forecasts as the

confidentiality agreement addresses the Companies' concerns regarding being economically

disadvantaged in negotiating fuel contracts and no party or the Commission could fully evaluate

the reasonableness of the Companies' applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and

Necessity and related environmental surcharges without knowing the natural gas price forecasts.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Ney (ug Ill Edward George Zuger III, Esq.

Zuger Law Office PLLC

Post Office Box 728 Corbin, Kentucky 40702

(606) 416-9474

Of counsel:

Shannon Fisk

Senior Attorney Natural Resources Defense Council

2 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250

Chicago, IL 60660

Phone: (312) 651-7904

Fax: (312) 234-9633

sfisk@nrdc.org

4

Kristin Henry Staff Attorney Sierra Club 85 Second Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: (415) 977-5716 Fax: (415) 977-5793

kristin.henry@sierraclub.org

Dated: October 6, 2011

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I mailed a copy of this Motion for Supplemental Discovery by first class mail on October 6, 2011 to the following:

Lonnie Bellar Vice President, State Regulation & Rates LG&E and KU Services Company 220 West Main Street Louisville, KY 40202

Allyson K. Sturgeon Senior Corporate Attorney Louisville Gas & Electric and Kentucky Utilities 220 West Main Street Louisville, KY 40202

Robert M. Conroy Director, Rates Louisville Gas & Electric and Kentucky Utilities Company 220 W. Main Street P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010

Kendrick R. Riggs, Esq. Stoll, Keenon & Odgen, PLLC 2000 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202

Dennis G. Howard II Lawrence W. Cook Attorney General's Office of Rate Intervention 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40601-8204 Kurt J. Boehm Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Michael L. Kurtz

David J. Barberie, Attorney Senior Leslye M. Bowman, Director of Litigation Government Center (LFUCG) Department of Law 200 East Main Street Lexington, KY 40507

Iris G. Skidmore 415 West Main Street, Suite 2 Frankfort, KY 40601

David C. Brown, Esq. Stites & Harbison, PLLC 400 W. Market Street, Suite 1800 Louisville, KY 40202

Tom Fitzgerald P.O. Box 1070 Frankfort, KY 4060

Edward George Zuger III, Esq.

Counsel for Movants